

Elliptic mod ℓ Galois representations which are not minimally elliptic

Luis Dieulefait

Dept. d'Àlgebra i Geometria, Universitat de Barcelona;
Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes 585; 08007 - Barcelona; Spain.
e-mail: ldieulefait@ub.edu

Abstract

In a recent preprint (see [C]), F. Calegari has shown that for $\ell = 2, 3, 5$ and 7 there exist 2-dimensional irreducible representations ρ of $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ with values in \mathbb{F}_ℓ coming from the ℓ -torsion points of an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} , but not minimally, i.e., so that any elliptic curve giving rise to ρ has prime-to- ℓ conductor greater than the (prime-to- ℓ) conductor of ρ . In this brief note, we will show that the same is true for any prime $\ell > 7$.

1 The result and its proof

In this article, we are going to prove the following result:

Theorem 1.1 *For any prime $\ell > 7$ the Galois representation ρ obtained from the ℓ torsion points of the elliptic curve*

$$E^\ell : \quad Y^2 = X(X - 3^\ell)(X - 3^\ell - 1)$$

is irreducible and unramified at 3, but E^ℓ and any other elliptic curve giving rise to the same mod ℓ Galois representation have bad reduction at 3. Thus, these representations arise from elliptic curves but not minimally.

On the other hand, if we consider a modular abelian variety A_f with good reduction at 3 also giving rise to ρ (it follows from the modularity of elliptic curves and lowering the level that such a variety always exists) then as ℓ varies the dimension of A_f tends to infinity with ℓ .

It was shown in [C] that also for primes $\ell < 11$ there exist irreducible residual representations that arise from elliptic curves but not minimally, thus the property is true for every prime.

We will show that for every $\ell > 7$ the curve E^ℓ is semistable outside 2, has bad reduction at 3, the associated mod ℓ Galois representation ρ is irreducible, unramified at 3, and there is no elliptic curve with good reduction at 3 whose associated mod ℓ representation is isomorphic to ρ .

In page 9 of [C], the example for $\ell = 7$ is constructed from the elliptic curve E :

$$y^2 + yx + y = x^3 - 89x + 316$$

which has semistable reduction at 2 and its discriminant Δ has 2-adic valuation equal to 7. This implies that the mod 7 Galois representation ρ attached to E is unramified at 2 (the prime-to-7 part of its conductor is 55) and by Tate's theory satisfies: $a_2 \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{7}$, where a_2 is the trace of $\rho(\text{Frob } 2)$. The representation can not correspond to an elliptic curve with good reduction at 2 because for such an elliptic curve E' we have $c_2 = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$, where c_2 denotes the trace of the image of Frob 2 for the compatible family of Galois representations attached to E , and therefore we would get $\pm 3 \equiv a_2 \equiv c_2 \pmod{7}$, a contradiction.

The same argument proves the result for higher primes: take $\ell > 7$ and consider the elliptic curve E^ℓ . From the definition of E^ℓ we see that it has bad reduction at 2 and 3 and good reduction at 5 and ℓ .

The same argument used for the case of the Frey-Hellegouarch curves related to Fermat's Last Theorem (cf. [H], pags. 368-369) shows that this curve is semistable outside 2 (i.e., it has semistable reduction at every odd prime of bad reduction) and that the corresponding mod ℓ representation ρ is unramified at 3 (because the 3-adic valuation of the minimal discriminant is multiple of ℓ). From this and the fact that E^ℓ has bad semistable reduction at 3 it follows that: $a_3 \equiv \pm 4 \pmod{\ell}$.

It is easy to check that ρ is irreducible: in fact, this follows from the fact that it is semistable outside 2 and has good reduction at 5 (and comes from an elliptic curve). We indicate a short proof for the reader convenience: assume that ρ is reducible, then (after semisimplifying, if necessary) we get:

$\rho \cong \epsilon \oplus \epsilon^{-1}\chi$ (*), where χ denotes the mod ℓ cyclotomic character and ϵ is a character unramified outside 2 (here we use semistability outside 2). Evaluating at Frob 5 and taking traces we get: $a_5 \equiv r + 5r^{-1} \pmod{\ell}$ (**), where $r = \epsilon(5)$. Since the 2-part of the conductor of any elliptic curve is known to be at most 256 it follows from (*) that the conductor of ϵ is at most 16. Thus, since the image of ϵ is cyclic (it is contained in the multiplicative group of a finite field) we conclude that the order of ϵ is at most 4, and in particular that $r^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$.

On the other hand, we know that $a'_5 = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4$, where a'_5 denotes the trace of the image of Frob 5 for the ℓ -adic representation attached to E^ℓ , and thus $(a'_5 \bmod \ell) = a_5$. With these restrictions on r and a_5 , we can solve (**): squaring both sides and using $r^2 = \pm 1$ and the above list of values for a'_5 , we check that the only possibility for (**) to hold is, if we restrict to $\ell \geq 11$, $\ell = 17$ with $a'_5 = \pm 1$ (@).

This proves irreducibility for every $\ell \geq 11$, except for $\ell = 17$. To rescue this last prime, observe that if we take the curve E^{17} we can count its number of points modulo 5: it has 8 points. This gives $a'_5 = -2$ for $\ell = 17$. Hence, since $-2 \neq \pm 1$, the case (@) never happens, and we also get irreducibility for $\ell = 17$.

Since $a_3 \equiv \pm 4 \pmod{\ell}$ and $\ell \geq 11$, it is clear that this representation can not correspond to an elliptic curve unramified at 3, because for such an elliptic curve the corresponding trace c_3 at Frob 3 (in characteristic 0) satisfies $c_3 = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3$, thus $a_3 \equiv c_3 \pmod{\ell}$ gives a contradiction.

Since all elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} are modular, by level-lowering we know that there exists a weight 2 newform f of level prime to 3 (and equal to the prime-to- ℓ part of the conductor of ρ) such that ℓ splits totally in the field \mathbb{Q}_f generated by the eigenvalues of f and for a prime $\lambda \mid \ell$ in \mathbb{Q}_f the mod λ representation $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$ attached to f is isomorphic to ρ . Of course, due to the result proved above, it must hold $\mathbb{Q}_f \neq \mathbb{Q}$, so that the abelian variety A_f associated to f is not an elliptic curve.

Moreover, it is not hard to see that given any dimension d , for almost every prime ℓ any abelian variety A_f realizing ρ with minimal ramification as above (i.e., with the level of f equal to the prime-to- ℓ part of the conductor of ρ and the residual representation attached to f isomorphic to ρ) must be of dimension greater than d . This follows from the fact that if the dimension is bounded by d , the degree of the field generated by c_3 , the trace at Frob 3

of the Galois representations attached to f , is also bounded by d , and from this it follows (using the bound for the complex absolute values of c_3 and its Galois conjugates) that there are only finitely many possible values for c_3 . Since (again) $c_3 \neq \pm 4$, the congruence $a_3 \equiv c_3$ gives

$$c_3 \equiv \pm 4 \pmod{\ell}$$

which can only be satisfied by finitely many primes ℓ (for a fixed d), and this is what we wanted to prove.

2 Bibliography

- [C] Calegari, F., *Mod p representations on Elliptic Curves*, preprint, available at
<http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/math.NT/0406244>
- [H] Hellegouarch, Y., *Invitation to the Mathematics of Fermat-Wiles*, Academic Press, 2002